## SY - Functional Requirements Checklist (JPL)

#### CLARITY

- 1. Are requirements specified in an implementation free way so as not to obscure the original requirements?
- 2. Are implementation and method and technique requirements kept separate from functional requirements?
- 3. Are the requirements clear and unambiguous (i.e, are there aspects of the requirements that you do not understand; can they be misinterpreted)?

#### COMPLETENESS

- 1. Are requirements stated as completely as possible? Have all incomplete requirements been captured as TBDs?
- 2. Has a feasibility analysis been performed and documented?
- 3. Is the impact of not achieving the requirements documented?
- 4. Have trade studies been performed and documented?
- 5. Have the security issues of hardware, software, operations personnel and procedures been addressed?
- 6. Has the impact of the project on users, other systems, and the environment been assessed?
- 7. Are the required functions, external interfaces and performance specifications prioritized by need date? Are they prioritized by their significance to the system?

## COMPLIANCE

1. Does this document follow the project's system documentation standards? Does it follow JPL's standards? Does the appropriate standard prevail in the event of inconsistencies?

## CONSISTENCY

- 1. Are the requirements stated consistently without contradicting themselves or the requirements of related systems?
- 2. Is the terminology consistent with the user and/or sponsor's terminology?

#### CORRECTNESS

1. Are the goals of the system defined?

## DATA USAGE

1. Are "don't care" condition values truly "don't care"? ("Don't care" values identify cases when the value of a condition or flag is irrelevant, even though the value may be important for other cases.) Are "don't care" condition values explicitly stated? (Correct identification of "don't care" values may improve a design's portability.)

## SY - Functional Requirements Checklist (JPL) (Continued)

#### FUNCTIONALITY

- 1. Are all functions clearly and unambiguously described?
- 2. Are all described functions necessary and together sufficient to meet mission and system objectives?

## INTERFACES

- 1. Are all external interfaces clearly defined?
- 2. Are all internal interfaces clearly defined?
- 3. Are all interfaces necessary, together sufficient, and consistent with each other?

#### MAINTAINABILITY

- 1. Have the requirements for system maintainability been specified in a measurable, verifiable manner?
- 2. Are requirements written to be as weakly coupled as possible so that rippling effects from changes are minimized?

#### PERFORMANCE

- 1. Are all required performance specifications and the amount of performance degradation that can be tolerated explicitly stated (e.g., consider timing, throughput, memory size, accuracy and precision)?
- 2. For each performance requirement defined:
  - a. Do rough estimates indicate that they can be met?
  - b. Is the impact of failure to meet the requirement defined?

## RELIABILITY

- 1. Are clearly defined, measurable, and verifiable reliability requirements specified?
- 2. Are there error detection, reporting, and recovery requirements?
- 3. Are undesired events (e.g., single event upset, data loss or scrambling, operator error) considered and their required responses specified?
- 4. Have assumptions about the intended sequence of functions been stated? Are these sequences required?
- 5. Do these requirements adequately address the survivability after a software or hardware fault of the system from the point of view of hardware, software, operations personnel and procedures?

# TESTABILITY

- 1. Can the system be tested, demonstrated, inspected or analyzed to show that it satisfies requirements?
- 2. Are requirements stated precisely to facilitate specification of system test success criteria and requirements?

# SY - Functional Requirements Checklist (JPL) (Continued)

TRACEABILITY

- 1. Are all functions, structures and constraints traced to mission/system objectives?
- 2. Is each requirement stated in such a manner that it can be uniquely referenced in subordinate documents?