Talía L.M. Lezama PRB 104, 085117 (2021)



Lea F. Santos (YU), Yevgeny Bar Lev (BGU), Jonathan Torres-Herrera (BUAP), Francisco Pérez-Bernal (UH)

Timescales and OTOCs in quantum many-body systems



Yeshiva University

Joint work with:

Anderson localization



 Absence of thermalization

Nature 453, 2008

Many-body localization (MBL)



Q: Does localization hold?

A: In ID, yes, but at sufficiently strong disorder.

Eigenstate properties?

How are these reflected on the dynamics and long-time behavior?

 $H = H_A + V \sum n_i n_j$ $\langle ij \rangle$

Thermalization in a closed quantum system

$$\rho(t) = U\rho(0)U^{\dagger} \qquad \qquad H|\nu\rangle = E_{\nu}|\nu\rangle$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle &\equiv \langle \psi(t) | \hat{O} | \psi(t) \rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu}^{*} c_{\nu} e^{-i(E_{\nu} - E_{\nu})} \\ &= \sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^{2} O_{\nu\nu} + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} c_{\mu}^{*} c_{\nu} e^{-i(E_{\nu} - E_{\nu})} \end{split}$$

$$\overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} \equiv \lim_{T' \to \infty} \frac{1}{T'} \int_0^{T'} dt \ \hat{O}(t) = \sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^2 O_{\nu\nu} = O_{\infty}$$

When do local observables reach thermal equilibrium?



$$\overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} = \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle_{\rm TH}$$



Time-dependent Hamiltonian

Periodic driving

H(t) = H

 $U_F(\tau) = \mathcal{T}$

$$t$$

$$H(\lambda) = H_{0} + \lambda V \quad ; \quad [H, H_{0}] \neq 0$$

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\Psi_{0}\rangle$$

$$(\hat{n}(x))$$

$$e^{-i\hat{H}t} (\hat{n}(x))$$

$$(\hat{n}(x))$$

$$U_{F}(\tau) = e^{-iH_{x}\tau}e^{-it}$$

$$f(t + \tau)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} dt e^{-itH(t)}$$

$$H_{x} = \frac{1}{\tau} + t$$



Models of MBL in a 1D lattice

Spin-1/2 Heisenberg XXZ



Spinless fermions



Hopping term

n.n interactions

Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$\sigma_i^+ = e^{-i\pi\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}n_k}c_i^{\dagger} \quad ; \qquad \sigma_i^- =$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{i+1}^{z} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i \hat{\sigma}_i^{z}$$
 $h_i \in [-W, W]$
Consider random potential (Zeeman splitting)

$$\left(n_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sum_{i}^{L} h_i \left(n_i - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Onsite random potential

 $= e^{i\pi \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k} c_i \quad ; \qquad \sigma_i^z = 2n_i - 1$

Many-body localization transition

Not a conventional phase transition

(finite energy density)



$$P(s) = A_{\beta} s^{\beta} e^{-B_{\beta} s^2}$$

0.5

Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, 021001 (2019)



Local integrals of motion

Many-body localization transition

Not a conventional phase transition

(finite energy density)

Entanglement entropy

Thermal

Ballistic $S(t) \sim t$

Local observables

Exponential relaxation





How long do realistic systems take to equilibrate/ thermalize?

Q: How to define the equilibration/thermalization time?

Model: Disordered one-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain (realistic and in some sense generic)

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_{i} S_{i}^{z}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_{i} = (S_{i}^{x}, S_{i}^{y}, S_{i}^{z}) \qquad h_{i} \in [-W, W]$$

$$W = 0.5$$
Initial states $|\Psi_{0}\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots\rangle$ in the middle of the the transformation of transformation of the transformation of tr

Q: How does the equilibration time depends on the model, observables, and initial state?

he spectrum

nsport.

<u>Correlation hole: manifestation of spectral correlations in the late-time dynamics</u>

Survival probability $P_S(t) = |\langle \Psi(0) | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2$









Correlation hole: manifestation of spectral correlations in the late-time dynamics





<u>Correlation hole: manifestation of spectral correlations in the late-time dynamics</u>







appropriate definition of equilibration/thermalization time

 $C(t) = \frac{4}{L} \sum \left[\left\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z | \Psi(t) \right\rangle - \left\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{S}_i^z | \Psi(t) \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{S}_{i+1}^z | \Psi(t) \right\rangle \right]$



Equilibration time disregarding the correlation hole (few-body observables)



Scales polynomially with L t^*

 $t^* \propto L^{\gamma}; \quad \gamma > 3$ Parametrically larger than the diffusive scaling often assumed in studies of transport

Conclusions and outlook:

- We studied the equilibration timescales in a many-body quantum system exhibiting the MBL transition, focusing in the chaotic (thermal) regime.
 - No assumptions, exact numerics.
 - Generic and experimentally feasible initial conditions.
 - Various observables, including few-body observables that are relevant for transport.
- We find that the correlation hole is only robust for the survival probability, while it is barely visible or vanishes in the thermodynamic limit for most observables, in particular, for the few-body observables.
 - Disregard the correlation hole and propose a new equilibration time.
 - Such equilibration time scales polynomially (rather than exponentially) with system system size.



- **OQ:** Are our results general?
- **OQ:** What is the phenomenology behind the observed scaling?

Outlook: Possible future directions and collaborations

• Disordered Bose-Hubbard model

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{i} \left(-\frac{J}{2} \left(-\frac{$$

Models with semiclassical limit

Quantum-classical correspondence



• Long-range models

$$H = B \sum_{i} \sigma_i^z + \sum_{i < j} \frac{J}{|i - j|^{\alpha}} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x$$

• Floquet systems and Random Unitary circuits

$$H(t) = H(t + \tau)$$



 $\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle$

 O_{∞}



$U > 0 \qquad h_i \in [-W, W]$

NJP 22, 063036 (2020)







<u>Out-of-time ordered commutators (OTOCs)</u>

 $C(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\langle [V(t),W]^{\dagger} [V(t),W] \right\rangle$

Much explored as detector of chaos.

Some works say it does detect chaos at long times (e.g. PRE 100, 042201 (2019))

We don't see that (to appear in arXiv soon)



Muchas gracias!

